

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,242	01/10/2002	Timothy M Coker	124-865	7056
7590 12/03/2003			EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye			LANEAU, RONALD	
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714		•	, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	0
		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/868,242	COKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronald Laneau	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Extended after - If there is a fixed to the image of the image o	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2002.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/868,242

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strohacker (US 6,320,986) in view of Gale et al (US 5,737,038).

Application/Control Number: 09/868,242

Art Unit: 2674

As per claims 1 and 3, Strohacker teaches a system and method of preprocessing multiple bit per pixel sampled data for lossless Lempel-Ziv compression whereby data stored in a frame buffer is transposed from a pixel based format to a bit plane based format before compression. Regrouping of the sampled video data from groups and strings referenced by pixel to groups and strings by bit plane materially improves the compressibility of the data by segregating the most significant bits and the least significant bits (col. 2, lines 30-38). Further, Strohacker teaches a conventional frame buffer implemented RGB or grey scale video drive system, such as would be used to control a display. The processor generates graphical images on a pixel basis and provides the data for the individual pixels over system bus 8 to VRAM array configured frame buffer memory 9. The individual bit planes 11 of the frame buffer store the individual bi information by pixel position from a most significant bit (MSB) plane to a least significant bit LSB) plane (col. 3, line 67 to col. 4, line 8, fig. 3). Fig. 5 shows an example of a binary string from the least significant bit to the most significant bit. Strohacker does not teach binary strings associated with the highest weightings stored in memory but Gale et al teach bit-plane displays having special data sequences specifying the order of display times, or segments of display times, for each bit-weight of a pixel. One sequence for 8-bit intensity data might be 7,6,5,4,3,2,1,0, where the display times for each bit-weight occur in descending order during the frame (col. 5, lines 4-9). Further, Strohacker teaches in a typical display system 10, frame memory 10 13 is "double buffer" memory, which means that it has a capacity for at lest two display frames, a buffer that can be read out to DMD(s) (col. 4, lines 13-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the bit-plane displays with bit-weights taught by Gale et al into the device of Strohacker because it would

Application/Control Number: 09/868,242

Art Unit: 2674

improve the quality of the images of a display system by eliminating artifacts and also reducing

the effects of periodicity between data of different colors during a frame period.

As per claim 2, Strohacker teaches a sequence for 8-bit intensity that qualifies as a multi-

bit intensity as claimed (col. 5, line 7).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

• Kennedy et al (US 6,512,853)

• Hill et al (US 6,577,291)

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is 703-305-3973. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6.00 PM or via email:

ronald.laneau@uspto.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hierpe can be reached at 703-305-4709.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ronald Laneau Examiner Art Unit 2674

rl

November 25, 2003

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMPLES

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000